```
ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
   United States Attorney
   ROBERT E. DUGDALE
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Criminal Division
   JAMES M. LEFT (Cal. SBN: 173382)
   Special Assistant United States Attorney
   General Crimes Section
 5
   MICHAEL DORE (Cal. SBN: 227442)
   Assistant United States Attorney
   General Crimes Section
 6
         1200 United States Courthouse
 7
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
        Telephone: (213) 894-0511 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
 8
 9
         Email: jim.left@usdoj.gov
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
10
   United States of America
11
12
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                  FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14
                                 ) No. CR 11-436(A)-MRW
15
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                 ) GOVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION TO
16
                   Plaintiff,
                                 ) DEFENDANT'S PROPOSED JURY
                                   INSTRUCTIONS
17
                 v.
                                                December 6, 2011
                                 ) Trial Date:
18
   JOEL CIRILO SOSA HERNANDEZ, ) Trial Time:
                                                9:00 a.m.
19
                   Defendant.
                                 ) Courtroom of the
                                 ) Honorable Michael R. Wilner
20
21
22
         Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its
   attorney of record, the United States Attorney for the Central
   District of California, hereby submits its opposition to
25
   defendant's proposed jury instructions for trial in the above-
26
   captioned case.
27
   //
28
   //
```

Case 2:11-cr-00436-MRW Document 70 Filed 12/02/11 Page 2 of 4 Page ID #:606

1	The government's opposition is based upon the attached
2	memorandum of points and authorities. The government
3	respectfully requests leave to file an additional opposition if
4	defendant files any more proposed jury instructions.
5	DATED: December 2, 2011 Respectfully submitted,
6	ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney
7	ROBERT E. DUGDALE
8 9	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division
10	
11	/s/ JAMES M. LEFT
12	Special Assistant United States Attorney
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America
14	onited beates of function
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Defendant is charged in the first superseding information with a pattern or practice of hiring and continuing to employ illegal aliens, in violation of Title 8, United States Code, Sections 1324(a)(1)(A), (a)(2), (f)(1). Defendant's two proposed jury instructions do not accurately reflect the nature of the charge.

Defendant's first proposed jury instruction states that defendant has been charged under Sections 1324a(a)(1)(A) and (a)(2) and is "subject to subsection (e)(4)." This is incorrect. Defendant is not charged under subsection (e)(4). As a matter of statute, any civil fines imposed under subsection (e)(4) are not a matter for this Court. Section 1324a(e) is a compliance section. If an individual or entity contests the imposition of a fine, the matter is heard before an administrative law judge. 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(e)(3)(A) and (B). An administrative law judge may impose a civil fine, or it may be imposed by an immigration officer if no hearing is requested. 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(e)(3)(B) and (C). Finally, it is inappropriate for a jury to determine a civil penalty in a criminal case.

Defendant's second proposed jury instruction is not completely accurate, because it does not fully articulate the bases by which defendant may be guilty of charged offense.

Defendant's second instruction presupposed that the government must prove that defendant both knowingly hired and knowingly continued to employ illegal aliens. However, subsection (f)(1) criminalizes a pattern or practice of one or the other. Thus, defendant may be found guilty if (1) defendant knowingly hired an

alien unauthorized for employment and there was a pattern or 1 practice of such conduct under Sections 1324a(a)(1)(A) and 2 (f)(1); or (2) defendant continued to employ an unauthorized 3 alien and there was a pattern or practice of such conduct under 4 5 Sections 1324a(a)(2) and (f)(1). The government's amended jury 6 instructions specifically address both forms of criminal conduct 7 and more accurately describes the charged offense. DATED: December 2, 2011 Respectfully submitted, 8 ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. 9 United States Attorney 10 ROBERT E. DUGDALE 11 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division 12 13 14 JAMES M. LEFT Special Assistant United States 15 Attorney 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 25 26 27 28